

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Thermochimica Acta 424 (2004) 59–62

thermochimica acta

www.elsevier.com/locate/tca

Synthesis and thermochemistry of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$

Z.H. Liu∗, C.F. Zuo, S.Y. Li

School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xian 710062, China

Received 24 February 2004; received in revised form 25 May 2004; accepted 26 May 2004 Available online 2 July 2004

Abstract

A pure calcium borate $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ has been synthesized under hydrothermal condition and characterized by XRD, FT-IR and TG as well as by chemical analysis. The molar enthalpy of solution of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ in HCl·54.579H₂O was determined. From a combination of this result with measured enthalpies of solution of H_3BO_3 in HCl·54.561H₂O and of CaO in HCl+H₃BO₃ solution, together with the standard molar enthalpies of formation of CaO(s), H₃BO₃(s), and H₂O(l), the standard molar enthalpy of formation of $-(3041.8 \pm 2.5)$ kJ mol⁻¹ of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ was obtained.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: 2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O; Synthesis; Standard molar enthalpy of formation

1. Introduction

There are many kinds of hydrated calcium borates, both natural and synthetic. Some of them are useful chemical industrial materials, which are used in glass, pottery and porcelain enamel industry, especially in unalkali glass industry. Thermodynamic properties play very important roles in scientific research and industrial applications. Gurevich et al. [1] determined the standard molar enthalpies of formation of the natural calcium borate minerals of $Ca_2B_6O_{11} \cdot 5H_2O$ and $Ca₂B₆O₁₁·13H₂O$. Li et al. [2] also reported the standard molar enthalpy of formation of five other hydrated calcium borates. This paper reports the synthesis method and the standard molar enthalpy of formation of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$, which is a calciu[m bor](#page-3-0)ate mineral named Parasibirskite.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of $2CaO·B_2O_3·H_2O$

2.806 g of CaO (obtained by the decomposition of CaCO₃ (A.R.) at 1223 K for 3h), 3.093 g of H_3BO_3 (A.R.), and 30 cm^3 of H₂O were put in the lining of small autoclave (40 cm^3) . The mixture was stirred and placed in a oven at 453 K. The autoclave was cooled naturally and opened after reaction 5 days. The solid phase was separated, washed thoroughly with hot distilled water, and then with alcohol and ether. Finally, the solid phase was dried at 353 K to constant mass. The synthetic sample was characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (Rigaku D/MAX-IIIC), FT-IR spectroscopy (Nicolet NEXUS 670 FT-IR spectrometer with KBr pellets at room temperature), and TG (Perkin-Elmer TGA7, heating rate of 0.167 K s^{-1} in flowing N₂). The chemical compositions of the sample were determined by EDTA titration for $Ca²⁺$, by NaOH standard solution in the presence of mannitol for B_2O_3 , and by difference for H_2O .

2.2. Method of calorimetric experiment

 $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ can be regarded as the product of the following reaction (5), and the thermochemical cycle was designed as Fig. 1.

The approximately 1 mol dm⁻³ HCl(aq) solvent can dissolve instantaneously all components of the reaction (5), which was prepared from analytical grade hydrochloric acid a[nd deion](#page-1-0)ized water, and its concentration was determined by titration with standard sodium carbonate.

The standard molar enthalpy of formation of $2CaO·B_2O_3$. H_2O could be obtained by the value of $\Delta_{r}H_{m}^{\circ}$ (5) in combination with the standard molar enthalpies of formation of

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 295307765; fax: +86 295307774. *E-mail address:* liuzh@snnu.edu.cn (Z.H. Liu).

^{0040-6031/\$ –} see front matter © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2004.05.029

Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the thermochemical cycle.

CaO(s), H₃BO₃(s) and H₂O(l). Value of $\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ}$ (5) could be obtained by means of the following reactions:

$$
2H_3BO_3(s) + HCl \cdot 54.561H_2O(aq) = Soln X_1
$$
 (1)

$$
2CaO(s) + Soln X_1 = Soln X_2
$$
 (2)

$$
2CaO \cdot B_2O_3 \cdot H_2O(s) + \text{Soln}\,X_4 = \text{Soln}\,X_3\tag{3}
$$

$$
2H_2O(l) + HCl \cdot 54.561H_2O(aq) = Soln X_4
$$
 (4)

$$
Soln X_2 = Soln X_3
$$

In all these determinations, a strict control of the stoichiometries in each step of the calorimetric cycle must be obeyed, with the objective that the dissolution of the reactants give the same composition as those of the products. Applying Hess's law, $\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ}$ (5) could be calculated according to the following expression:

$$
\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ} (5) = 2 \Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ} (1) + 2 \Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ} (2) - \Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ} (4) - \Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\circ} (3)
$$

An RD496-III heat conduction microcalorimeter (Southwest Institute of Electron Engineering, China), which is a totally

automatic instrument utilizing computer control, was used and has been described in detail previously [3,4]. The temperature of the calorimetric experiment was 298.15 K. Additional double-layer glass tubes were put in the 15 cm^3 stainless steel sample cell and reference cell of the calorimeter. This was done to prevent corro[sion of](#page-3-0) the stainless steel sample and reference cell by HCl(aq). The lining in the double-layer glass tube containing HCl(aq) was broken by a rod after thermal equilibration for at least 2 h, and the HCl(aq) was mixed with solid sample in the outer glass tube. The thermal effect was then recorded automatically on a computer. Total time required for the complete reaction was about 0.5 h. There were no solid residues observed after the reactions in each calorimetric experiment.

To check the performance of RD496-III heat conduction microcalorimeter, calorimetric measurements on the enthalpy of solution of KCl (G.R.) in deionized water were made. The average experimental value $17.23 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ of $\Delta_{sol}H_m$ of KCl(s) is in excellent agreement with that of $17.241 \pm 0.018 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ reported in the literature [5]. This shows that the device for measuring the enthalpy of solution used in this work is reliable.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the synthetic sample

Chemical analysis results of synthetic sample: CaO, 55.90%; B2O3, 34.89%; H2O, 9.21%; mole ratio of CaO: B_2O_3 : $H_2O = 1.99$:1.00:1.02.

XRD pattern of synthetic sample is showed in Fig. 2. The characteristic d values are 0.6689, 0.4214, 0.3349, 0.2967,

Fig. 2. X-ray powder diffraction pattern of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$.

Fig. 3. TG-DTG curve of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$.

0.2849, 0.2709, 0.2232, 0.2068, 0.1838, 0.1822, 0.1787, 0.1772, and 0.1750 nm, which corresponds with those of Parasibirskite (see JCPDS File No. 22-0145) and shows absence of other crystalline forms in the synthetic sample.

The IR spectrum of synthetic sample exhibited the following absorptions and they were assigned referring to literature [6]. The band at 3356 cm^{-1} is the stretching of [O–H.](#page-3-0) There is no band at $1600-1700$ cm⁻¹ which is assigned to the H–O–H bending mode, and this result shows that the compound does not contain the crystal water. The bands at 1430, 1305 and 910 cm−¹ might be the asymmetric and symmetric stretching of B(3)–O, respectively. The band at 1158 cm^{-1} is the in-plane bending of B–O–H. The very strong [band](#page-3-0) at 716 cm^{-1} is the out-of-plane bending of B(3)–O, which also exist in the compound of $Mg_2[B_2O_4(OH)_2] \cdot H_2O$ [7]. The bands at 592 and 560 cm^{-1} are the in-plane bending of $B(3)-O.$

TG-DTG curve (Fig. 3) indicates that the total weight loss is 9.62% from 623 to 873 K, which correspo[nds t](#page-3-0)o the loss of 1 water molecule and can be compared with calculated value of 9.02%. High temperature of starting lose water shows that the H_2O in $2CaO·B_2O_3·H_2O$ molecules is the structural water.

Therefore, the structural formula for $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ can be written as $Ca_2[B_2O_4(OH)_2]$. All of above results indicates that the synthetic sample is pure $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ and is suitable for the calorimetric experiments.

3.2. Results of calorimetric experiment

The results of the calorimetric measurements are given in Table 1, in which *m* is the mass of sample, $\Delta_{sol}H_{\text{m}}^{\circ}$

is the molar enthalpy of solution of solute, and the uncertainty is twice the standard deviation of the mean. Table 2 gives the thermochemical cycle for the derivation of the standard molar enthalpy of formation of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$. The molar enthalpy of solution of $H_3BO_3(s)$ of 21.83 $\pm 0.08 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ in HCl-54.561H₂O, and of CaO(s) of $-(188.63 \pm 0.36)$ kJ mol⁻¹ in the mixture of HCl and H_3BO_3 were taken from the literature [2]. The standard molar enthalpies of formation of $H_2O(1)$, CaO(s), and $H_3BO_3(s)$ were taken from the CODATA Key Values [8], namely $-(285.830 \pm 0.040) \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, $-(634.92 \pm 0.90)$ kJ mol⁻¹, and $-(1094.8 \pm 0.8)$ kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The enthalpy of dilution of HCl(aq) was calculated from the NBS tables [9]. From these data, the sta[ndard](#page-3-0) molar enthalpy of formation of $2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O$ was calculated to be $-(3041.8 \pm 2.5)$ kJ mol⁻¹.

For comparison, the enthalpy of formation of $2CaO·B₂O₃$. H2O can also be esti[mated](#page-3-0) by a group contribution method [10], which can be expressed in following equation:

Table 1 The molar enthalpies of solution of 2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O in HCl·54.579H₂O at 298.15K^a

 a In each experiment, 2.00 cm³ of HCl(aq) was used.

^b Uncertainty is estimated as twice the standard deviation of the mean.

Table 2 Thermochemical cycle and results for the derivation of $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\circ}$ (2CaO·B₂O₃·H₂O, 298.15K)

No.	Reaction	$\Delta_r H^{\circ}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)
	$2H_3BO_3(s) + 114.20(HCl.54.561H_2O) = 2H_3BO_3(aq) + 114.20(HCl.54.561H_2O)$	43.66 ± 0.16
2	$2CaO(s) + 2H_3BO_3(aq) + 114.20(HCl·54.561H_2O) = 2CaCl2(aq) + 2H3BO3(aq) + 110.20(HCl·56.560H_2O)$	$-(377.26 \pm 0.72)$
3	$2CaCl2(aq) + 2H3BO3(aq) + 110.20(HCl·56.560H2O) = 2CaO·B2O3·H2O(s) + 114.20(HCl·54.579H2O)$	179.53 ± 0.25
$\overline{4}$	$114.20(HCl·54.579H2O) = 114.20(HCl·54.561H2O) + 2H2O(1)$	0.04 ± 0.01
5	$2CaO(s) + 2H_3BO_3(s) = 2CaO·B_2O_3·H_2O(s) + 2H_2O(l)$	$-(154.03 \pm 0.78)$

$$
\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\circ}(\text{Ca}_2[\text{B}_2\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_2], s)
$$

= $2\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\circ}(\text{Ca}^{2+}, a\text{q}) + \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\circ}([\text{B}_2\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_2]^{4-}, a\text{q})$

in which the $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\circ}$ of $-542.83 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ of $\text{Ca}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ was taken from the literature [10], the $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\circ}$ of -1671.24 kJ mol⁻¹ of [B₂O₄(OH)₂]⁴⁻ was calculated from the $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\circ}$ of $Mg_2[B_2O_4(OH)_2] \cdot H_2O$ [7] according to the group contribution method [10]. The standard molar enthalpy of formation is, using this scheme, $-3047.32 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The calculated value is close to the experimental result. The relative error is 0.18%.

Acknowledgements

This subject was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province of China (grants 2002B13).

References

- [1] V.M. Gurevich, V.A. Sokolov, Geokhimiya 3 (1976) 455.
- [2] J. Li, S.Y. Gao, Sh.P. Xia, B. Li, R.Z. Hu, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 29 (1997) 1071.
- [3] M. Ji, M.Y. Liu, S.L. Gao, Q.Z. Shi, Instrum. Sci. Technol. 29 (1) (2001) 53.
- [4] Z.H. Liu, M.C. Hu, Thermochim. Acta 403 (2003) 181.
- [5] M.V. Kilday, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand (US) 85 (1994) 467.
- [6] J. Li, S.P. Xia, S.Y. Gao, Spectrochim. Acta 51A (1995) 519.
- [7] Z.H. Liu, M.C. Hu, S.Y. Gao, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 75 (2004) 73.
- [8] J.D. Cox, D.D. Wagman, V.A. Medvedev, CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics, Hemisphere, New York, 1989.
- [9] D.D. Wagman, W.H. Evans, V.B. Parker, R.H. Schumm, in: I. Halow, S.M. Bailey, K.L. Chumey, R.L. Nuttall (Eds.), The NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, J. Phys. Chem. Data 11 (Suppl. 2) (1982).
- [10] J. Li, B. Li, S.Y. Gao, Phys. Chem. Miner. 27 (2000) 342.